

### **Citizens Transportation Commission**

#### May 27, 2021









- Key component of T2050
- Reevaluated corridors
- Six potential corridors and four potential network scenarios
- Technical workshop and two Executive workshops
- Community outreach





- High capacity bus service that focuses on improved speed, reliability and convenience
- BRT = Flexibility
  - Can be planned and designed to best meet the needs of a community
- Common elements found in BRT systems



### **Common BRT Elements**



**Enhanced Stations** 



**Custom Buses** 



**Advanced Fare Collection** 



**Unique Branding** 

PHX T2050 🎱



**Potential Dedicated Lanes** 



**Transit Spot Improvements** 

#### 5

# **BRT vs. RAPID/Express**





### **Transit Performance, Propensity and Ridership**

M METRO

 $\diamond$ 



# **Ridership by Segment**





# **BRT Corridors**

- Transit propensity
- Transit performance
- Ridership forecasting





- Geographic coverage/spacing
  - Min. 2 miles between corridors
- Intersecting BRT corridors
- Connections with light rail and frequent local bus service
- End points that are useful passenger origins and destinations

### **BRT Network Comparison**







### **Transit Analysis Results**





Camelback/24th St Thomas/44th St 35th Ave/Van Buren

- Highest performing (ridership)
- Better coverage/spacing
- Compliments existing/future networks
- Input/recommendations
  from BRT Workshops



### **Community Education and Engagement**





83N



### **OUTREACH TOOLS**



- Transit analysis maps
- Project fact sheet
- Frequently asked questions
- "BRT 101" videos
- Program webpage
- Online meeting
  webpage

- Live virtual public meetings
- In-person/virtual meetings with community groups
- In-person/virtual meetings with Village Planning Committees
- Shape Your BRT survey
- Social media

### **BRT Program Activities**









### Phoenix BRT Program

### Online Meeting



### BRT 101 video views English 1,040 Spanish 92



Online meeting video views

### English 300 Spanish 89



### **In-Person/Virtual Meetings**



# Met with 26 groups in-person and virtually



#### **Presented to over 690**

committee members, stakeholders and general public



**Over 115** questions answered





# **In-Person/Virtual Meetings**



#### **Community Question Topics**





Cost/funding

BRT options in South and North Phoenix



Agency/organization coordination



BRT program implementation







north





### Potential Network Scenarios

How does a network scenario differ from a corridor? A network scenario includes different combinations of both north-south and east-west corridors to create a full network of BRT service.





# Respondents by Zip Code







# **Preferred East-West Corridors for BRT**



Survey respondents ranked each east-west corridor between 1 and 4; the average scores for each are shown above.





### Why are these east-west corridors preferred?







# **Preferred North-South Corridor for BRT**



Survey respondents selected their preferred north-south corridor; the percent preferred for each is shown above.





# Why is this north-south corridor preferred?







### **Top Themes for Corridor Modification Suggestions**



Open ended question on survey.





## **Preferred BRT Network**

Camelback Rd/24th St, Thomas Rd/44th St, 35th Ave/Van Buren St (**Blue Network Scenario**)

Camelback Rd/24th St, Thomas Rd/44th St, 19th Ave/Van Buren St (**Purple Network Scenario**)

Indian School Rd/24th St, McDowell Rd/44th St, 35th Ave/Van Buren St (Yellow Network Scenario)

Indian School Rd/24th St, McDowell Rd/44th St, 19th Ave/Van Buren St (Green Network Scenario)

Denotes most preferred



Survey respondents ranked each network scenario between 1 and 4; the average scores for each are shown above.





### **Top Themes for Network Modification Suggestions**



Open ended question on survey.





# Lane Preference for BRT



Survey respondents ranked lane configuration options between 1 and 3; the average scores for each are shown above.



### **Key Service Aspects**





Survey respondents ranked the importance of each service aspect between 1 and 4; the average scores for each are shown above.



### **Key Final Comment Themes**





Open ended question on survey.



### **Community Engagement Results**





Camelback/24th St Thomas/44th St 35th Ave/Van Buren

### Most preferred:

- Camelback and
  Thomas Roads
- 35th Avenue
- Blue Network Scenario





### **Next Steps**



- Refine program schedule
- Begin corridor planning (operations and capital)
- Establish corridor-specific outreach techniques
- Identify funding plan and potential partners
- Monitor progress on eastwest corridor discussions

# **MAG Regional BRT Feasibility Study**



Rank	Corridor Name
1	35th Avenue/Van Buren Street (COP)
2	Camelback Road/24th Street (COP)
3	Thomas Road/44th Street (COP)
4	Scottsdale Road/Rural Road
5	Capitol/I-10
6	Country Club Drive/Arizona Avenue
7	Glendale Avenue
8	Baseline Road





# **Final Recommendation**





**35th Ave/Van Buren** 

The Public Transit Department requests the Citizens Transportation Commission recommend City Council approval of an initial Bus Rapid Transit corridor:

• 35th Avenue/Van Buren Street



